

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/944,866 08/31/2001 Michinobu Mizumura 16869P-031900US 2789 20350 05/18/2004 **EXAMINER** TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER NGUYEN, HOAN C EIGHTH FLOOR ART UNIT SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 PAPER NUMBER 2871

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Applicati n N . Applicant(s)		
	09/944,866	MIZUMURA ET AL.	•
	Examin r	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of the	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	corresp ndence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	(S) FROM mely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits	is
Disposition of Claims	· parto dadylo, 1955 G.D. 11, 45	3 U.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-15 are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acc	and/or election requirement. oted or b) objected to by the E awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Time: Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.	·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F	nave been received. nave been received in Application documents have been received	n No in this National Stage	
		•	
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P	TO 412)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Feb 10, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 8-10 have been withdrawn in this amendment.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 13-15, drawn to a method for repairing lines pattern defects, classified in class 349, subclass 192.
- II. Claim 11-12, drawn to a flat display, classified in class 349, subclass 54.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed

can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case a flat display (invention I) can be made by different process in which cutting or severing the gate line, then forming an insulating layer covering a region at the location of the shorting defect to coat the severed part. This is reversed process cited in claims 1 and 13.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn March 18, 2004

> ROBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800